



ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance —

Registered By Australia Post — Publication No. VBH 0999

Vol.27, No.11

March 28th, 1991

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: "Grandiose plans require large groups of 'workers' or soldiers. The bureaucracy is simply the chain of command; the grander the scheme, the vaster the bureaucracy, from national to international.

"The commanding officers of little pyramids of power see in bigger schemes their way to their promotion as commanders of larger pyramids. Thus we see Heads of State, Heads of Departments, and droves of lesser commanders flying to confer all over the globe, visibly (for those with eyes to see) evolving the machinery of International Government - government of nations from outside nations, and ending in World Government without nations."

B.W. Monahan, in The Trap (1969)

GULF PUZZLES: "Iraq dictator Saddam Hussein has sent his new Prime Minister into southern hotspots to show the rebellion there has been crushed."

Herald-Sun (Melbourne), March 25th

Whatever happened to the "Butcher of Baghdad"?

Wasn't he the unspeakable villain who had invaded a defenceless country, massacred his own nationals, tortured women and children?

Was he not, in the obedient mass media, compared to Hitler of the Nazi regime?

Were there not calls in high political places for his arraignment on War Crimes charges? Was not the "international community" (the great majority of which would never have heard of Saddam Hussein nor Iraq) to expect another round of Nuremburg-style War Crimes "trials"?

Well, what is happening. Regrettably, we don't believe very much that is reported on the Gulf (or anywhere else, for that matter) and never have. We are being told now that Saddam Hussein is still boss cocky in Iraq; he has appointed a Prime Minister; the Iraq Government is still made up of Saddam Hussein supporters.

We read this three times, and pinched ourselves, to make sure we were reading right: "President Bush said Saddam Hussein appears still to be calling the shots...normal relations with the U.S.A. cannot be effective with Saddam still calling the shots, still in power."

We are still reeling around from this one: "U.S. Gulf commander, General Schwarzkopf, said ... that pilots on patrol over Iraq had been told to shoot down helicopters only if they approached Allied units."

What IS going on?

We were led to believe by the obedient mass media that General Schwarzkopf and his armies did Saddam Hussein like a dinner! The mass media, it is now obvious, grossly exaggerated the strength of Saddam's military capacity.

WHY is Saddam Hussein still in a position of power, still? WHY is his Republican Guard still intact (we have seen nothing to the contrary)? Does Saddam Hussein have some sort of hold over the Allied armies?

Is General Schwarzkopf being constrained by higher political forces? Are the Western politicians allowing Saddam to remain in power to control radical and revolutionary forces within Iraq, forces which the West does not desire to confront? Did Mr. Gorbachev "lean" on George Bush to allow Saddam to put down his own rebellious elements (Muslim fundamentalists?) to stop the "Muslim factor" spreading into southern Soviet (Muslim) Republics, and triggering a revolt there against central control from the Kremlin (Gorbachev's line)? Has Saddam Hussein mined the Iraq oilfields, these to be destroyed unless the West "backs off"?

These are the "Gulf Puzzles". What do you think?

HERE WE GO AGAIN: "Citizens' Electoral Councils are campaigning around Australia for the introduction of citizens' initiated referendums, which have become a rallying point for the far right, including the League of Rights, as well as other more moderate groups"

The Age (Saturday Extra), March 23rd

We have been aware for some considerable time that there would be an attempt by the League of Rights haters to associate the League with the "thought" of Lyndon La Ruche, an American political-economic guru, now in jail in the U.S.A. on fraud and tax evasion charges.

There is nothing new about the citizens' initiated referendum; it has been law in Switzerland for well over one hundred years, and is now law in many of the States of the U.S.A., and also law in Italy. What's the Big Deal?

Sure the League of Rights advocates the Citizens' Initiated Referendum, among many other civic organisations. The Australian Democrats support the C.I.R. What's all the fuss about?

This! It would not at all please the World Government mob. With the C.I.R. as law, Australians could invalidate all the Mickey Mouse "social" legislation which has been snuck through the Canberra legislation-mill by the Evatt-inspired trick of allowing Canberra to legislate on various treaties which Australia has with other nations. The United Nations Charter is a treaty, and Senator Evans and his ilk have been very busy destroying the Australian Constitution, for the reason stated.

The Human Rights & Equal Opportunity Commission, Sex Discrimination Act, Racial Discrimination Act, Affirmative Action programmes .. all the machinery and the staffs of these set-ups could be most probably abolished/disbanded once Australians learned what their powers were with the C.I.R. Immigration? Yes, we could have something to say, and do, about that, also. And the politicians know it!

The League is accused, and caned, for criticising and "exposing" this New World Order. We have never seen this "New World Order" defined with any sort of precision at all.

According to Sheena MacLain, the journalist who wrote this feature article, "Seeds of Unrest" (above), Western leaders refer to "a more democratic and peaceful world in which ideological barriers have been broken down. The new world order is a promise, and inspiration". All very vague, gooey stuff.

We recall that World War 1 was "the war to end wars". We recall that World War 2 was for the purpose of "making the world safe for democracy".

All we can say is that "there's one born every minute". Jeremy Lee, in the issue of the League's monthly Intelligence Survey (April 1984) detailed and authenticated the United Nations Six Special Session of April 1974 of a "Declaration on the Establishment of a New International Economic Order".

Also, the Lima Declaration made at the U.N. Industrial Development Conference (U.N.I.D.O.) in Peru in March 1975. It's all there: the international income tax/the international currency (the Eurodollar is just a start)/AND Ms. MacLain, a New World Information Order to control international media reporting, pushed for years by U.N.E.S.C.O. The present Hawke Government proposal to ban advertising by political parties is nothing to what could come.

We have commented in the past that the day could come when the incredible could happen: the media people coming to the League of Rights for advice on the ways to ensure their own survival.

Dr. Paul Gardiner, of the Jewish B'Nai B'rith Anti-Defamation Commission, is quoted berating League policies which are "anti-democratic and deny human dignity". We would like specific details of those: we never get these details, only the innuendos.

Yes, Mr. Jeremy Lee, and League executives, are certainly on the campaign trail, and having record meetings. The National Director of the League, Mr. Eric Butler, had a record meeting in Adelaide only recently; a record attendance.

Yes, Dr. Gardiner, you are right: the League of Rights does NOT advocate violence, never has, and never will, unlike the present Prime Minister of Israel, Mr. Shamir, who was a political terrorist,

associated with the Stern Gang leadership responsible for Lord Moyne's assassination in Egypt in 1944, and also for the assassination of Count Folke Bernadotte in Jerusalem in 1948. Enough said?

BRIEF COMMENTS: There is some merit in banning radio and television political advertising before elections, but only the most naive could possibly believe that the Labor Party is attempting to implement this policy as a matter of high principle. The Labor Party has got serious financial problems and it knows that the business community generally is not going to contribute to its election funds. While the Liberal Party objects to the proposed radio and television ban, its claims about principle - freedom of speech - can also be discounted. The Liberal Party strategists believe that under present conditions they are more likely to be able to out-spend the Labor Party in the electronic media. Remember that it was the "principled" Liberal Party which after initially opposing the public funding of political parties, then accepted the funding.

If election advertising is to be confined to the print media, Labor believes that it might have some advantage because of the support of Rupert Murdoch's near monopoly in Australia. Labor is resisting any suggestion of an investigation of the highly centralised control of the print media in Australia, with Murdoch controlling an estimated 70 percent. Rather belatedly, former Prime Minister Malcolm Fraser now says that he regrets the role his government played in allowing Rupert Murdoch to acquire so many newspapers in Australia.

* * * * *

Zionist propaganda is skilfully attempting to create the impression that the Palestinian people already have a home in Jordan, where they are a majority of the population. C.H. Douglas correctly described Zionist philosophy as that of the one way street. Israel was established first by dispossessing the Palestinians of their land. This was done with U.N. backing. Israel further expanded its borders during the 1967 conflict and created tens of thousands of more Palestinian refugees. These people are morally entitled to return to their own land and to exercise control over the West Bank and other occupied areas. Even the U.N. has said this. But Israel has contempt for the U.N. It is also indicating it has contempt for President George Bush.